UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/897,279	07/02/2001	Wiland Von Wendorff	J&R-0680	2028
24131 7590 08/21/2007 LERNER GREENBERG STEMER LLP P O BOX 2480			EXAMINER	
			KIM, KEVIN	
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2611		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/897,279	WENDORFF, WILAND VON				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin Y. Kim	2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	VIO OET TO EVENE A					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO c, cause the application to become a	ICATION. The reply be timely filed expression of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju	<u>une 2007</u> .	•				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,3,5,6 and 8-14 is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>5,6 and 14</u> is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) <u>5,6 and 14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>8-13</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) Objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•					
<u> </u>	: "					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		n received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	' ''	at received				
		r received.				
Attachment(s)	الماد المستحدد المادان	Summan (DTO 412)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application				
	3, <u>—</u> 3, i.i.					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed June 12, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant understands that McGibney discloses that all terminals transmit their synch signal simultaneously, so that the signals will all collide. However, before synchronization is established it is impossible for all the terminals to select a time to simultaneously transmit sync signals. It is more reasonable to read that the terminals are configured to transmit sync signals during special synchronization slots, where exact timings within a particular sync slot vary from terminal to terminal. Since two or more terminals happen to simultaneously transmit sync signals, the sync signals will collide and can not be listened to at all. It is advantageous that the sync signals are not to collide with each other. The previous Office action provides a well known collision detection/avoidance technique such as CSMA/CD. Applicant fails to discuss the obviousness of the claimed invention in view of McGibney and CSMA/CD technique.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 5, 6 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by McGibney (US 6,594,273).

McGibney discloses a synchronous network (see Fig.5) comprising;

Application/Control Number: 09/897,279

Art Unit: 2611

nodes transmitting data to another in a predefined sequence for a predefined duration (see Fig.2 showing times slots assigned to nodes), a plurality of said nodes outputting a synchronization signal (see Fig.2 showing SYNC SLOT) defining a reference time for the synchronization of the nodes,

a respective node outputs a sync signal (during a specific time slot or a global time slot as shown in Fig.2 showing SYNC SLOT) for the synchronization with other nodes.

McGibney does not specifically describe that the respective node outputs the sync signal after determining whether and when no other nodes are outputting a sync signal.

The respective node outputs further data in a time slot assigned thereto after outputting the sync signal or receiving a sync signal from other nodes (see Fig.2 showing times slots assigned to nodes).

McGiBney teaches that each node listens to sync signals from other nodes at random intervals. This suggests that McGibney teaches the avoiding of collision between sync signals from other nodes and its own generation of a sync signal during the sync slot. A carrier sense multiple access with collision detection or CSMA/CD is well known and widely used in the art for a distributed network without a master node, such as used by McGibney. See col.2, line 46- col.3, line 10. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to require the nodes of the synchronous network of McGibney to generate a sync signal after determining whether and when no other nodes are outputting a sync signal in order to avoid a collision with other nodes similarly attempting to output sync signals.

Application/Control Number: 09/897,279

Art Unit: 2611

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 8-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

5. Claims 1 and 3 are allowed.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kevin Y. Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-3039. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8AM -- 5PM M-F.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/897,279

Art Unit: 2611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Shuwang Liu can be reached on 571-272-3036. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

August 20, 2007

AU 2611

KEVIN KIM
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5